APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,509	03/15/2004	Masayuki Yoshii	15162/05700	9450

24367   7590   09/24/2008

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
717 NORTH HARWOOD
SUITE 3400
DALLAS, TX 75201

EXAMINER
NGUYEN, LUONG TRUNG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2622	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/800,509	YOSHII, MASAYUKI
	Examiner	**Art Unit**
	LUONG T. NGUYEN	2622

All Participants:

(1) *LUONG T. NGUYEN*.

(2) *TUNG T. NGUYEN (Reg. No. 42,935)*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: <u>10 September 2008</u>

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
    If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Mr. Tung T. Nguyen stated that the applicant did not file response to the Office Action mailed on 3/06/2008; therefore this application is abandoned.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/LUONG T NGUYEN/
Examiner, Art Unit 2622

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)